

ANISHA H. ITUAH,
by her Guardian, Angela McKay,

V.

AUSTIN STATE HOSPITAL,
ALAN R. NOTTEBART,
CATHERINE NOTTEBART,
and JOHN DOES 1–5, *Employees of
Austin State Hospital,*

Defendants.

S S S S S S S S S S S S

ORDER

Before the Court is the report and recommendation from United States Magistrate Judge Mark Lane concerning Plaintiff's Unsealed Motion to Certify Class, (Dkt. 103), and Plaintiff's Sealed Motion, (Dkt. 84). (R. & R., Dkt. 110). Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Rule 1(d) of Appendix C of the Local Rules of the United States District Court for the Western District of Texas, Judge Lane issued his report and recommendation on January 3, 2020. (*Id.*). As of the date of this order, no party has filed objections to the report and recommendation.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b), a party may serve and file specific, written objections to a magistrate judge’s proposed findings and recommendations within fourteen days after being served with a copy of the report and recommendation and, in doing so, secure *de novo* review by the district court. When no objections are timely filed, a district court can review the magistrate’s report and recommendation for clear error. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee’s note (“When no timely objection is filed, the [district] court need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation.”).

Because no party has filed timely objections, the Court reviews the report and recommendation for clear error. Having done so and finding no clear error, the Court accepts and adopts the report and recommendation as its own order.

Accordingly, the Court **ORDERS** that the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge, (Dkt. 110), is **ADOPTED**. Plaintiff's Motion for Class Certification, (Dkt. 84, 103), is **DENIED**.

SIGNED on January 21, 2020.



ROBERT PITMAN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE